**Toward New Neuropsychiatric Paradigms: An Evolutionary, Adaptive, Neurobiological, and Nano biological Framework** (updated)

Author: *Percy Naranjo MD*
Affiliation: *Private Practice*

Abstract

Contemporary neuropsychiatry faces theoretical fragmentation, diagnostic limitations, and a widening gap between phenomenology and neurobiological mechanisms. This article proposes a new multilevel paradigm integrating evolutionary biology, adaptive behavioral mechanisms, neurobiological architectures, neurochemical and nanoscopic processes, and homeostatic regulation. This framework offers a coherent foundation for research and clinical application, positioning psychiatric phenomena within the broader landscape of biological organization, from ancient adaptive systems to nanoscale molecular signaling. The model aims to overcome the reductionism of the DSM framework (Kendler, 2012) and align neuropsychiatry with the emerging principles of network neuroscience (Bullmore & Sporns, 2012), circadian biology (Goldstein et al., 2017), affective neuroscience (LeDoux, 2015; Pessoa, 2013), homeostatic theory (Schulkin & Sterling, 2023), and precision psychiatry (Insel, 2014).

Introduction

The development of new neuropsychiatric paradigms requires a conceptual architecture that matches the complexity of human cognition and behavior. Traditional models—particularly categorical diagnostic systems such as the DSM—have suffered from limited biological validity, excessive symptom-based reductionism, and insufficient integration with contemporary neuroscience (Kendler, 2012). As psychiatric research advances, it becomes increasingly clear that mental disorders cannot be fully understood through single-level explanations or linear causality (Cummings & Mega, 2022). Instead, they require models that bridge evolutionary pressures, adaptive mechanisms, neural architecture, and molecular signaling.

To address this need, the present framework adopts an integrative, evolutionary, multilevel perspective. This approach is consistent with emerging directions in precision psychiatry (Insel, 2014), allostatic regulation (McEwen & Wingfield, 2010; Schulkin & Sterling, 2023), network-based brain models (Bullmore & Sporns, 2012), and nano neuroscience (Ghosh & Joshi, 2018; Nagy & Tóth, 2021). The goal is to articulate an operational paradigm that can guide empirical research, translational applications, and clinical practice.

The model is structured around four central principles: (1) the evolutionary foundation of mental systems; (2) adaptive behavioral mechanisms; (3) neurobiological network architecture; and (4) neurochemical and nano biological processes. These principles

together support a coherent map of how psychiatric phenomena emerge, stabilize, dysregulate, or respond to intervention.

1. The Evolutionary Principle: The Root of the Paradigm

The evolutionary perspective holds that human psychological traits, emotional capacities, and vulnerability patterns are products of biological and cultural evolution (Kirschner & Gerhart, 2006). This view reframes psychological features not as errors but as adaptations, exaptation's (Stephen Jay Gould and Elisabeth Vrba (1982)), or trade-offs shaped by ancestral environments (Baumeister & Bushman, 2021). Psychiatric symptoms often represent mismatches between evolved mechanisms and modern contexts (Randall & Coyne, 2022).

For example:

- Fear and anxiety systems evolved to manage threats but can become dysregulated when the environment changes more rapidly than evolution (LeDoux, 2015).
- Attachment mechanisms, foundational for survival in early hominins, may manifest as maladaptive interpersonal patterns when applied to modern social structures (Porges, 2011).
- Memory systems, which evolved for ecological adaptation rather than accuracy, can contribute to rumination or trauma-related disorders under specific contexts (Tsien, 2013).

Evolutionary reasoning therefore provides an essential explanatory function: *why* mental systems exist and *why* they generate vulnerability under current conditions.

2. Adaptive Mechanisms: Psychological and Behavioral Operating Systems

On the evolutionary foundation sit the adaptive mechanisms that organize cognition, emotion, social behavior, and decision-making. These mechanisms function as modular strategies for responding to environmental challenges (Cisek & Kalaska, 2010; Doya, 2008).

Clinically relevant adaptive systems include:

- Threat-detection and defensive circuits, which regulate anxiety and fear (LeDoux, 2015).
- Reward and motivation networks, which integrate dopaminergic pathways and generate approach behavior (Berridge & Kringelbach, 2015).
- Social attachment and affiliation systems, influenced by oxytocin–vasopressin pathways (Carter, 2014).

- Executive and regulatory mechanisms, which govern inhibition, planning, and goal maintenance (Pessoa, 2013).

Within this paradigm, psychopathology is conceptualized not merely as symptom clusters but as malfunctioning adaptive strategies, either exaggerated, blunted, mis calibrated, or chronically activated (Clark, 2015). This mirrors allostatic overload models in stress physiology (McEwen & Wingfield, 2010).

Clinical assessment, therefore, must determine *which adaptive system is operating*, *under what context*, and *with what level of calibration*.

3. Neurobiological Architectures: Networks, Systems, and Connectivity

The third principle focuses on the structural and functional organization of the brain. Contemporary neuroscience shows that mental phenomena emerge from distributed networks rather than isolated regions (Bullmore & Sporns, 2012; Kandel, 2021). Key systems include:

- Default Mode Network (DMN) — associated with self-referential thought and rumination.
- Central Executive Network (CEN) — critical for working memory and cognitive control.
- Salience Network (SN) — essential for detecting biologically relevant stimuli.
- Thalamocortical circuits — which integrate sensory and cortical communication (Guillery & Sherman, 2011).

Psychiatric disorders often arise from imbalances or disconnections between these networks (Pessoa, 2013). For example:

- Depression is linked to overactive DMN and underactive CEN (van der Helm & Walker, 2009).
- Anxiety involves hyperexcitable amygdala–prefrontal loops (LeDoux, 2015).
- Schizophrenia features dysregulated connectivity across thalamocortical and front striatal networks (Cummings & Mega, 2022).

This framework aligns with precision-medicine approaches such as RDoC, which emphasizes neurobiological dimensions over categorical diagnoses (Insel, 2014).

## 4. Neurochemical and Nano biological Principles: Molecular, Synaptic, and Nanoscale Dynamics

At the most granular level, psychiatric phenomena depend on molecular and nanoscale processes. Advances in nano neuroscience show that synaptic function depends on nanodomains, nanoscale receptor dynamics, intracellular signaling assemblies, and microstructural organization (Ghosh & Joshi, 2018).

Psychiatric conditions may involve:

- Disrupted dopaminergic nanoscale regulation of reward prediction (Doya, 2008).
- Nano structural alterations in perineuronal nets, influencing memory persistence (Tsien, 2013).
- Aberrant nano dynamic modulation of glutamate and GABA receptors (Nagy & Tóth, 2021).
- Neuroinflammatory nano signaling across neural networks (McEwen & Wingfield, 2010).

Future psychiatric treatments will increasingly target these nanoscale mechanisms, integrating neuropharmacology, nanotechnology, and systems neuroscience (Abbott, 2020).

## 5. Homeostasis, Allostasis, and Circadian Regulation: The Integrative Layer

A robust neuropsychiatric paradigm must also incorporate the mechanisms regulating biological stability. Homeostasis and allostasis are central to brain–body integration (Schulkin & Sterling, 2023). Psychiatric symptoms frequently reflect disruptions in:

- Circadian rhythms, associated with mood and cognitive disorders (Goldstein et al., 2017).
- Sleep-dependent emotional regulation, mediated by REM neurodynamic (van der Helm & Walker, 2009).
- Pain–pleasure balances, governed by dopaminergic and opioidergic circuits (Berridge & Kringelbach, 2015).
- Neuroendocrine stress systems, including cortisol and autonomic pathways (Porges, 2011).

Integrating these mechanisms enables a unified understanding of how environmental cycles, stressors, and internal regulation shape psychiatric vulnerability.

## Conclusion

This multilevel paradigm—evolutionary, adaptive, neurobiological, neurochemical–nano biological, and homeostatic—offers a coherent framework capable of supporting research, modeling, and clinical application. It bridges disciplines from evolutionary biology to computational neuroscience and aligns with emerging trends in precision psychiatry. By grounding neuropsychiatric phenomena in evolutionary purpose, adaptive function, network architecture, molecular dynamics, and homeostatic regulation, this model provides an operational structure for advancing both theoretical understanding and practical interventions in neuropsychiatry.